

AGES OF RECORDS IN RANDOM WALKS

RÉKA SZABÓ AND BÁLINT VETŐ

Dedicated to Bálint Tóth on the occasion of his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. We consider random walks with continuous and symmetric step distributions. We prove universal asymptotics for the average proportion of the age of the k th longest lasting record for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and for the probability that the record of the k th longest age is broken at step n . Furthermore, we show that the ranked sequence of proportions of ages converges to the Poisson–Dirichlet distribution.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently there has been an increasing interest in the study of record statistics, since this field has found several applications in natural sciences, in particular in finance. If the discrete time series $x_1, x_2, \dots$ is considered, then we say that a record event happens at time k , if x_k is larger than all the previous values in the series. There are several variables with interesting behaviour such as the time up to which a record survives, the age of the longest lasting record, or the probability that a record event occurs at any given time. A remarkable feature of record statistics is their universal behaviour, i.e. they do not depend on the details of the jump distribution as long as it is continuous and symmetric [10]. All these results have their roots in the Sparre Andersen theorem [18].

The statistics of the longest lasting record after n steps were examined in [8] in the case of random walks with arbitrary symmetric and continuous distribution of the jumps. It was observed that the statistics of the records is sensitive to the exact definition of the length of the last record event. Three different natural ways were given to define the length of the last record up to time n , and the universal behaviour of the longest lasting record was described in each case. The asymptotic proportion of the expected value of the longest lasting record was computed. The constant that appeared in one of the cases was known from the study of fractional Brownian motion [6, 7].

The present paper is an extension of the work presented in [8]. We consider the full sequence of the k th longest lasting records for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and by introducing new constants, we describe their average asymptotic proportions and the limit of the probability that the record of the k th longest age is broken at step n for each k as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Some of these constants appeared before in [17] and in [5] where the probability that the last excursion is the k th longest lasting one in a standard Brownian motion was computed. These results were later extended for renewal processes [9].

Furthermore, we examine the limiting distribution of the ages of the records as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We show that in one of the cases after rescaling by the total length, the ranked sequence of the ages of records converges to the Poisson–Dirichlet distribution [12, 13, 14]. This distribution is related to the ranked lengths of excursions in diffusion processes [15] and to renewal processes [1]. The Poisson–Dirichlet distribution with parameter $\alpha = 1/2$ was proved to be the limiting distribution of ranked excursion lengths up to time n of the simple symmetric random walk [2].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60K05, 60G50.

Key words and phrases. longest lasting records, random walk, asymptotic average proportion, Laplace transform, renewal process, Poisson–Dirichlet distribution.




FIGURE 1. A random walk trajectory with $R_n = 6$, the age of the last record after n steps is A_n , τ_6 or τ_5 .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state our main result on the asymptotic behaviour of the Laplace transforms of two types of quantities: the average proportions of the ages of the longest lasting records and the probabilities that the record of the k th longest age is broken at step n . In two of the three cases, we can turn these asymptotics into a proof of convergence of the average proportions by specific arguments. Then we deduce the limit theorem about the rescaled ranked sequence of ages from the results of [1]. The proof of the asymptotics of Laplace transforms is postponed to Section 3.

2. RESULTS

Let the sequence η_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ consist of i.i.d. random variables from a common symmetric and absolutely continuous distribution. We consider the random walk $X_0 = 0$ and $X_n = X_{n-1} + \eta_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. A record event occurs at step n , if the random walk takes a value at time n that is larger than all the previous values of the random walk, that is, $X_n > \max(X_0, \dots, X_{n-1})$ with the convention that the first record occurs at time 0.

Let $t_1 = 0$ and recursively define

$$(1) \quad t_k = t_{k-1} + \min\{\tau > 0 : X_{t_{k-1}+\tau} > X_{t_{k-1}}\}$$

for $k = 2, 3, \dots$ which gives the time when the k th record occurs. The age of the k th record is

$$(2) \quad \tau_k = t_{k+1} - t_k$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ which form a sequence of i.i.d. random variables by construction. Let R_n be the number of records until time n , i.e. define $R_n = m$ if and only if $t_m \leq n < t_{m+1}$. By the i.i.d. structure of the ages of records $(\tau_k)_{k=1}^\infty$, R_n becomes a renewal process. Let A_n denote the current age of the renewal process at time n , that is, $A_n = n - t_m$ if $R_n = m$. For an illustration, see Figure 1.

There are three different natural choices for the set of ages which one considers. Given that $R_n = m$, the sequences of ages after n steps in the different cases are given by

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{A}_{n,m}^{(1)} = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{m-1}, A_n),$$

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{A}_{n,m}^{(2)} = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m),$$

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{A}_{n,m}^{(3)} = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{m-1})$$

where multiple occurrences are considered with multiplicities. Now we define the two main quantities of interest. Given the sequence $\mathcal{A}_{n,m}^{(\alpha)}$ for $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$, let $L_k^{(\alpha)}(n)$ denote

the k th largest element of $\mathcal{A}_{n,m}^{(\alpha)}$. Further, let $p_k^{(\alpha)}(n)$ denote the probability that the last element of $\mathcal{A}_{n,m}^{(\alpha)}$ is the k th longest one of the sequence. In particular,

$$(6) \quad p_1^{(1)}(n) = \mathbf{P}(A_n \geq \max(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{m-1})),$$

$$(7) \quad p_1^{(2)}(n) = \mathbf{P}(\tau_m \geq \max(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{m-1})),$$

$$(8) \quad p_1^{(3)}(n) = \mathbf{P}(\tau_{m-1} \geq \max(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{m-2})).$$

On the example of Figure 1, the sequence of the ages of records in the three cases are $\mathcal{A}_{16,6}^{(1)} = (3, 2, 5, 1, 3, 2)$, $\mathcal{A}_{16,6}^{(2)} = (3, 2, 5, 1, 3, 6)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{16,6}^{(3)} = (3, 2, 5, 1, 3)$. The corresponding values of $L_k^{(\alpha)}(16)$ are $L_1^{(1)}(16) = 5$, $L_2^{(1)}(16) = 3$, $L_3^{(1)}(16) = 3$, $L_4^{(1)}(16) = 2$, $L_5^{(1)}(16) = 2$ and $L_6^{(1)}(16) = 1$ for $\alpha = 1$.

We introduce the distribution of the ages of records

$$(9) \quad f(k) = \mathbf{P}(\tau_1 = k) = \mathbf{P}(X_k > X_0 \geq \max(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}))$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $f(0) = 0$, i.e. $f(k)$ is the probability that the random walk crosses its starting point between steps $k - 1$ and k . Further, let

$$(10) \quad q(k) = \mathbf{P}(\tau_1 > k) = \mathbf{P}(\max(X_1, \dots, X_k) < X_0)$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots$, in particular $q(0) = 1$, that is the probability that there is no record until time k . One clearly has

$$(11) \quad f(k) = q(k-1) - q(k)$$

by definition. The distribution of the ages of records is known by the classical Sparre Andersen theorem [18] which can also be found in Section XII.7 of [4].

Theorem 1. *Suppose that the step distribution of the random walk X_n is continuous and symmetric. Then the distribution and the generating function of the ages of records are given by*

$$(12) \quad q(k) = \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \binom{2k}{k}, \quad f(k) = \frac{1}{2^{2k-1}k} \binom{2k-2}{k-1},$$

$$(13) \quad \tilde{q}(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} q(k) z^k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z}}, \quad \tilde{f}(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} f(k) z^k = 1 - \sqrt{1-z}.$$

As a consequence, one has the following asymptotics by the Stirling formula:

$$(14) \quad q(k) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi k}}, \quad f(k) \sim \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi} k^{3/2}}$$

where the symbol $\sim$ means that the ratio of the two sides converges to 1 as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 2. *By Theorem 1, the distribution of the ages of records does not depend on the step distribution of the random walk as long as the latter is continuous and symmetric. Therefore, instead of the random walk description, the problem of the record age statistics can be posed as a question on renewal processes where the holding times are distributed according to (12).*

One naturally expects that the asymptotic proportions of the ages of the longest records $\mathbf{E}(L_k^{(\alpha)}(n))/n$ converge as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed k and α . Similarly, it is believed based on numerical evidence that the probabilities $p_k^{(\alpha)}(n)$ converge as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We can only prove the convergence of $\mathbf{E}(L_k^{(1)}(n))/n$ and $\mathbf{E}(L_k^{(2)}(n))/n$ (see Propositions 6 and 7), but we identify the limits under the assumption of convergence.

2.1. Limiting rank of the last interval and limiting proportions of ages of records. In order to state the main result of the present paper, we introduce the constants

$$(15) \quad p_k^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{-1/2} e^{-x} \Gamma(-1/2, x)^{k-1}}{(x^{-1/2} e^{-x} + \gamma(1/2, x))^k} dx,$$

$$(16) \quad p_k^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2^k} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{-3/2} (1 - e^{-x}) \Gamma(-1/2, x)^{k-1}}{(x^{-1/2} e^{-x} + \gamma(1/2, x))^k} dx,$$

$$(17) \quad C_k^{(1)} = p_k^{(1)},$$

$$(18) \quad C_k^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{-1/2} \Gamma(-1/2, x)^{k-1}}{(x^{-1/2} e^{-x} + \gamma(1/2, x))^k} dx,$$

$$(19) \quad C_k^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2^k} \int_0^\infty \frac{\Gamma(-1/2, x)^k}{(x^{-1/2} e^{-x} + \gamma(1/2, x))^k} dx$$

where

$$(20) \quad \Gamma(\nu, x) = \int_x^\infty e^{-t} t^{\nu-1} dt,$$

$$(21) \quad \gamma(\nu, x) = \int_0^x e^{-t} t^{\nu-1} dt$$

are the upper and lower incomplete gamma functions.

Theorem 3. *For the Laplace transforms of the probabilities $p_k^{(\alpha)}$, for $\alpha = 1, 2$, one has*

$$(22) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} p_k^{(\alpha)}(n) e^{-sn} \sim \frac{p_k^{(\alpha)}}{s}$$

and

$$(23) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} p_k^{(3)}(n) e^{-sn} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}$$

as $s \rightarrow 0$. As a consequence, if $p_k^{(\alpha)}(n)$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then the limit is $p_k^{(\alpha)}$ for $\alpha = 1, 2$ and if $\sqrt{n} p_k^{(3)}(n) / \ln n$ converges, then the limit is $1/(2\sqrt{\pi})$, that is

$$(24) \quad p_k^{(3)}(n) \sim \frac{\ln n}{2\sqrt{\pi n}}.$$

Further, one has the following asymptotics for the Laplace transforms of the expected ages of the longest lasting records

$$(25) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{E}(L_k^{(\alpha)}(n)) e^{-sn} \sim \frac{C_k^{(\alpha)}}{s^2}$$

as $s \rightarrow 0$ with the constants (17)–(19) for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$. Consequently

$$(26) \quad \frac{\mathbf{E}(L_k^{(\alpha)}(n))}{n} \rightarrow C_k^{(\alpha)}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and for $\alpha = 1, 2$. If the sequence $\mathbf{E}(L_k^{(3)}(n))/n$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then the limit is $C_k^{(3)}$.

Table 1 contains the first few numerical values of $p_k^{(\alpha)}$ and $C_k^{(\alpha)}$. The constants $p_k^{(1)}$ and $p_k^{(2)}$ already appeared in [17] and [5] as the asymptotic probabilities that the last excursion is the k th longest lasting one in a standard Brownian motion. The constants $C_k^{(2)}$ and

k	$p_k^{(1)} = C_k^{(1)}$	$p_k^{(2)}$	$C_k^{(2)}$	$C_k^{(3)}$
1	0.62651 ...	0.80031 ...	∞	0.24174 ...
2	0.14301 ...	0.08125 ...	0.18685 ...	0.07999 ...
3	0.06302 ...	0.03342 ...	0.07107 ...	0.04105 ...
4	0.03565 ...	0.01846 ...	0.03826 ...	0.02528 ...
5	0.02300 ...	0.01178 ...	0.02412 ...	0.01724 ...
6	0.01610 ...	0.00819 ...	0.01666 ...	0.01255 ...

TABLE 1. The numerical values of $p_k^{(1)}$, $p_k^{(2)}$ and $C_k^{(\alpha)}$ for $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ for $1 \leq k \leq 6$

$C_k^{(3)}$ were previously unknown in the literature. $C_1^{(2)} = \infty$ because the expected length of the holding times in the corresponding renewal process is infinite, see (14) for $f(k)$, the distribution of the holding times (i.e. ages of records).

Remark 4. The equality of the constants $p_k^{(1)} = C_k^{(1)}$ can be verified a priori, it is a consequence of equation (30) in Proposition 6 below and it is proved without using Theorem 3.

Remark 5. The following heuristic argument explains why $\ln n / \sqrt{n}$ is the order of magnitude of $p_k^{(3)}(n)$. Let $\lambda(n)$ be the random time of the last record until time n . Since the rescaled spent waiting time converges in distribution to the arcsine law (see e.g. Section XIV.3 of [4]), one has

$$(27) \quad \mathbf{P}(\lambda(n) = l) \asymp \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln}}$$

for $l \leq n/2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where $\asymp$ means that the ratio of the two sides are bounded from below and from above by non-trivial constants. On the other hand, conditionally given that there was a record at time l , the number of renewal intervals before l equals $\asymp \sqrt{l}$, and these intervals follow each other in a uniform random order. Hence

$$(28) \quad \mathbf{P}(\text{the last renewal interval in } [0, l] \text{ is the } k\text{th longest one} \mid \lambda(n) = l) \asymp \frac{1}{\sqrt{l}}$$

for l large enough. By the law of total probability, if we sum the product of (27) and (28) for l , then the result is asymptotically $\ln n / \sqrt{n}$.

2.2. Convergence of average limiting proportions. The next two propositions imply that the knowledge on the Laplace transforms in Theorem 3 can be turned into a proof of convergence of $n^{-1}\mathbf{E}(L_k^{(\alpha)}(n))$ for $\alpha = 1, 2$.

Proposition 6. For any fixed n , the following relation holds:

$$(29) \quad \mathbf{E}(L_k^{(1)}(n+1)) = \mathbf{E}(L_k^{(1)}(n)) + p_k^{(1)}(n),$$

or equivalently,

$$(30) \quad \mathbf{E}(L_k^{(1)}(n)) = \sum_{j=0}^n p_k^{(1)}(j)$$

with the convention $p_1^{(1)}(0) = 1$ and $p_k^{(1)}(0) = 0$ for $k = 2, 3, \dots$. Consequently, the asymptotic equivalence (22) implies the convergence (26) for $\alpha = 1$.

Proposition 7. *For any fixed k , the sequence $\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \mathbf{E}(L_j^{(2)}(n))\right)_n$ is subadditive, that is,*

$$(31) \quad \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbf{E}(L_j^{(2)}(n+m)) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbf{E}(L_j^{(2)}(n)) + \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbf{E}(L_j^{(2)}(m)).$$

Consequently, the proportions $\mathbf{E}(L_k^{(2)}(n))/n$ converge as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Proposition 6. Note that the age of the k th longest record can only increase from time n to time $n+1$, if the last interval is the k th longest one at time n . This observation means that the difference $L_k(n+1) - L_k(n)$ is a Bernoulli random variable with parameter $p_k^{(1)}(n)$ which proves (29), then (30) follows immediately. To prove (26) for $\alpha = 1$, the Hardy–Littlewood tauberian theorem can be used (see e.g. Theorem 2 in XIII.5 of [4]). The theorem yields that (22) for $\alpha = 1$ implies that the right-hand side of (30) is asymptotically equivalent to $np_k^{(1)}$, i.e. (26) holds for $\alpha = 1$ by (17). $\square$

Proof of Proposition 7. We define the shifted random walk $\tilde{X}_l = X_{n+l} - X_n$. Note that if r is the first record time of the random walk X_l after time n , then $r - n$ is also a record time of the shifted random walk $\tilde{X}_l$. Furthermore, all the record times and ages of records for X_l after time r correspond to those of $\tilde{X}_l$ after time $r - n$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ denote the list of ages of records in the original random walk which started not later than n . Similarly, let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_m^{(2)}$ be the list of ages of records in the shifted random walk which started not later than m . Now we consider the list $\mathcal{A}_{n+m}^{(2)}$. All the ages of records which started not later than n are contained in the list $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$. Ages of records in the original random walk started after time n are contained in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_m^{(2)}$ by the previous observation on the ages of records after time r . Hence the k largest elements of the list $\mathcal{A}_{n+m}^{(2)}$ can be found either in $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ or in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_m^{(2)}$. Since $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_m^{(2)}$ are equal in distribution, for the expectations, the subadditivity relation (31) follows. By the subadditive lemma, the sequence $\sum_{j=1}^k \mathbf{E}(L_j^{(2)}(n))/n$ converges for all k as $n \rightarrow \infty$ which finishes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

2.3. Summation identities.

Proposition 8. *The sum of the constants $p_k^{(\alpha)}$ and $C_k^{(\alpha)}$ are the following:*

$$(32) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k^{(1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k^{(2)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k^{(1)} = 1,$$

$$(33) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} C_k^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{-1/2} \Gamma(-1/2, x)}{x^{-1/2} + \gamma(1/2, x)} dx \simeq 0.43067 \dots,$$

$$(34) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

The proof of the summation identities (32)–(34) in Proposition 8 are straightforward by summing the geometric series under the integral sign in (15)–(19) and by the integration by parts formula

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma(-1/2, x) &= 2x^{-1/2}e^{-x} - 2\Gamma(1/2, x) \\ &= 2x^{-1/2}e^{-x} + 2\gamma(1/2, x) - 2\sqrt{\pi} \end{aligned}$$

where the fact $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$ is used.

Next we explain why it is natural to expect the identities (32) and (34) to hold. Clearly, for any finite n ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k^{(1)}(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k^{(2)}(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}(L_k^{(1)}(n))}{n} = 1$$

holds, hence by Fatou's lemma, the sums in (32) are at most 1. The reason for these sums not being less than 1 is the following. One expects an invariance principle for the ages of the records of the random walk X_n to hold, i.e. ages of records of the walk should converge to the ages of records of Brownian motion. Let us consider the trajectories of the random walk X_n as continuous functions by linear interpolation. By reflecting a trajectory of X_n downwards off 0 in the Skorokhod sense (see e.g. Section VI.2 in [16]), the record ages of the original trajectory correspond to the excursion lengths of the reflected trajectory (up to an error which is at most 1). Invariance principle for the excursions of the random walk was proved in [3] for the simple random walk, but it is expected for other step distributions as well. Provided that such an invariance principle is proved for the continuous and symmetric step distributions which are considered in the present paper, then the equality in (32) readily follows.

To explain (34), one should observe that

$$(36) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}(L_k^{(3)}(n)) = \frac{n}{2}$$

holds for any n since the left-hand side is the expected time of the last record before n which is the expected time of the maximal value of the random walk X_k for $0 \leq k \leq n$. By reverting the trajectory, the time of the maximal value of the random walk $\widehat{X}_k = X_{n-k} - X_n$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$ and that of the original walk add up to n . Since this time reversal preserves the measure, it explains (36). The same invariance principle for the ages of records of the random walk X_n which was used in the explanation of (32) could turn this argument into a proof of (34).

2.4. Limiting distribution of proportions of ages. As a consequence of a result in [1], we prove a limit theorem for the relative sizes of the renewal intervals.

Theorem 9. *Let us consider a random walk with arbitrary symmetric and continuous distribution of the jumps. Let $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{m-1}, A_n)$ be the sequence of the ages of its records up to time n . Then the proportions of the ranked ages of records jointly converge*

$$(37) \quad \left(\frac{L_1^{(1)}(n)}{n}, \frac{L_2^{(1)}(n)}{n}, \dots \right) \xrightarrow{d} \text{PD}(1/2, 0)$$

in distribution in the vague sense.

Similar results were already shown for Brownian motion [15] and for the simple symmetric random walk [2]: the limiting distribution of ranked excursion lengths up to time n converges in both cases to the Poisson–Dirichlet distribution with parameter $\alpha = 1/2$. For the proof of Theorem 9, we state a special case of Theorem 3.1 from [1] about the asymptotics of the steps of a renewal process including the age of the process.

Theorem 10 ([1]). *Suppose that (Y_n) is an i.i.d. sequence of non-negative regularly varying random variables with extremal index equal to 1 and tail index $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let $\lambda(t) = \inf\{k : Y_1 + \dots + Y_k > t\}$. Then, the ranked sequence of*

$$(38) \quad \left(\frac{Y_1}{t}, \frac{Y_2}{t}, \dots, \frac{Y_{\lambda(t)-1}}{t}, \frac{A_t}{t} \right)$$

converges in distribution as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the vague sense where $A_t = t - (Y_1 + \dots + Y_{\lambda(t)-1})$. The limit is the Poisson–Dirichlet distribution with parameters $(\alpha, 0)$, i.e. the size-biased permutation of the limiting component sizes can be expressed as

$$(39) \quad \tau_i = (1 - U_i) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} U_j \quad i \geq 1$$

where the sequence of U_i 's is independent with beta distribution of parameter $(i\alpha, 1 - \alpha)$.

U_i can be interpreted as residual fractions in a stick-breaking process: $U_1 = 1 - \tau_1$, $U_2 = (1 - \tau_1 - \tau_2)/(1 - \tau_1)$ and so on [13]. This construction of a Poisson–Dirichlet distribution first appeared in [11].

Remark 11. The Poisson–Dirichlet distribution can be interpreted as the jumps of a stable subordinator on a given time interval, see [12]. Theorem 1.2 of [14] is that if for a stable subordinator $T(s)$ we denote $t = \inf\{s : T(s) \geq 1\}$ the first passage time of level 1, then $1 - T(t-)$ is a size biased sample from the vector of the jump sizes up to time t where the last jump is taken to be of size $1 - T(t-)$. This fact also explains (17), that is, given the set of ages of records, the probability of being the last interval is proportional to its relative size.

Proof of Theorem 9. Since the ages of the records are independent and regularly varying with tail parameter $\alpha = 1/2$, Theorem 10 can be applied to determine the limiting distribution of $(\tau_1/n, \tau_2/n, \dots, A_n/n)$. $\square$

3. PROOF OF LAPLACE TRANSFORM ASYMPTOTICS

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3 which is the main result of the paper. We start with an a priori bound on the constants $C_k^{(\alpha)}$.

Lemma 12. For any $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ and for $k \geq 2$, we have

$$(40) \quad \frac{L_k^{(\alpha)}(n)}{n} \leq \frac{1}{k-1}$$

almost surely. As a consequence, we have

$$(41) \quad C_k^{(\alpha)} \leq \frac{1}{k-1}.$$

Proof. The bound (40) is clear, since at least $k-1$ of the k longest intervals are in $[0, n]$. Consequently,

$$(42) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{E}(L_k^{(\alpha)}(n))e^{-sn} \leq \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} ne^{-sn} = \frac{1}{k-1} \frac{e^{-s}}{(1 - e^{-s})^2} \sim \frac{1}{k-1} \frac{1}{s^2}$$

which proves (41). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3. To compute $L_k^{(\alpha)}(n)$ and $p_k^{(\alpha)}(n)$, first we have to determine the joint distribution of R_n and the sequence of ages up to time n . Assume that $R_n = m$ and let $\bar{l}^{(1)} = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{m-1}, a)$, $\bar{l}^{(2)} = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m)$ and $\bar{l}^{(3)} = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{m-1})$ be the possible realizations of ages in the three different cases. The probability of a realization is

$$(43) \quad \mathbf{P}(\bar{l}^{(\alpha)}, n, m) = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{A}_{n,m}^{(\alpha)} = \bar{l}^{(\alpha)}, R_n = m)$$

which can be expressed with $q(k)$ and $f(k)$ of (12) in the cases $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ as

$$(44) \quad \mathbf{P}(\bar{l}^{(1)}, n, m) = f(l_1)f(l_2) \dots f(l_{m-1})q(a)\mathbb{1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} l_k + a = n\right)$$

$$(45) \quad \mathbf{P}(\bar{l}^{(2)}, n, m) = f(l_1)f(l_2) \dots f(l_m) \mathbb{1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} l_k < n \leq \sum_{k=1}^m l_k \right)$$

$$(46) \quad \mathbf{P}(\bar{l}^{(3)}, n, m) = f(l_1)f(l_2) \dots f(l_{m-1}) \sum_{a \geq 0} q(a) \mathbb{1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} l_k + a = n \right)$$

where $\mathbb{1}(\cdot)$ is the indicator function.

Case $\alpha = 1$. Let $p_k^{(1)}(n, m)$ denote the probability that $R_n = m$ and the last element of $\mathcal{A}_{n,m}^{(1)}$ is the k st longest one of the sequence. In this case, there are exactly $k - 1$ longer intervals than A_n among $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m-1}$. This probability can be expressed as

$$(47) \quad \begin{aligned} p_k^{(1)}(n, m) &= \mathbf{P}(A_n = L_k^{(1)}(n), R_n = m) \\ &= \binom{m-1}{k-1} \sum_{a \geq 0} \sum_{l_1=a+1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{l_{k-1}=a+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l_k=1}^a \dots \sum_{l_{m-1}=1}^a \mathbf{P}(\bar{l}^{(1)}, n, m). \end{aligned}$$

By summing over all m , we get exactly $p_k^{(1)}(n)$, the probability that the last element of $\mathcal{A}_{n,m}^{(1)}$ is the k st longest one. For its generating function,

$$(48) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_k^{(1)}(z) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} p_k^{(1)}(n) z^n \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m \geq 1} p_k^{(1)}(n, m) z^n \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{j \geq 0} q(j) z^j \binom{m-1}{k-1} \left(\sum_{l=1}^j f(l) z^l \right)^{m-k} \left(\sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} f(l) z^l \right)^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we apply the identity

$$(49) \quad \sum_{m \geq 1} \binom{m-k}{k-1} x^{m-k} = \frac{1}{(1-x)^k}.$$

The expression in (48) for the generating function can be written as

$$(50) \quad \tilde{p}_k^{(1)}(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{q(j) z^j \left(\sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} f(l) z^l \right)^{k-1}}{\left(1 - \sum_{l=1}^j f(l) z^l \right)^k}.$$

The following identity can be derived from (11)

$$(51) \quad 1 - \sum_{k=1}^t f(k) z^k = q(t) z^t + (1-z) \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} q(k) z^k.$$

Using this identity, the denominator can be rewritten as

$$(52) \quad \tilde{p}_k^{(1)}(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{q(j) z^j \left(\sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} f(l) z^l \right)^{k-1}}{\left(q(j) z^j + (1-z) \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} q(l) z^l \right)^k}.$$

To prove (22) for $\alpha = 1$, one has to show

$$(53) \quad s \tilde{p}_k^{(1)}(e^{-s}) = s \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{q(j) e^{-sj} \left(\sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} f(l) e^{-sl} \right)^{k-1}}{\left(q(j) e^{-sj} + (1-e^{-s}) \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} q(l) e^{-sl} \right)^k} \rightarrow p_k^{(1)}$$

for any k fixed as $s \rightarrow 0$. Using (14), one can see that there is a $C > 0$ such that for any $x > 0$ large enough, the summand $j = x/s$ in (53) is bounded by Ce^{-x} uniformly in s . This bound can then be turned into a uniform exponential bound on the tail of the sum in (53). The uniform exponential bound justifies the exchange of limits and reduces (53) to

$$(54) \quad \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=0}^{K/s} \frac{sq(j)e^{-sj} \left(\sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} f(l)e^{-sl} \right)^{k-1}}{\left(q(j)e^{-sj} + (1 - e^{-s}) \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} q(l)e^{-sl} \right)^k} = p_k^{(1)}.$$

where sum on the left-hand side is a Riemann sum for the integral in (15) on $[0, K]$ instead of $[0, \infty)$. This verifies (22) for $\alpha = 1$.

For the proof of (25), one can use Proposition 6 to get the relation

$$(55) \quad (1 - z) \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{E}(L_k^{(1)}(n)) z^n = z \sum_{n \geq 0} p_k^{(1)}(n) z^n.$$

By substituting $z = e^{-s}$, one readily gets (25) for $\alpha = 1$ with $C_k^{(1)} = p_k^{(1)}$.

Case $\alpha = 2$. With similar notations as in the previous case, we have the following formula

$$\begin{aligned} p_k^{(2)}(n, m) &= \mathbf{P}(\tau_m = L_k^{(2)}(n), R_n = m) \\ &= \binom{m-1}{k-1} \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{l_1=j+1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{l_{k-1}=j+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l_k=1}^j \cdots \sum_{l_{m-1}=1}^j \mathbf{P}(\bar{l}^{(2)}, n, m). \end{aligned}$$

Using (45) and (49), the generating function of the sequence $p_k^{(2)}(n)$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_k^{(2)}(z) &= \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{j \geq 0} f(j) \frac{1 - z^j}{1 - z} \binom{m-1}{k-1} \left(\sum_{l=1}^j f(l) z^l \right)^{m-k} \left(\sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} f(l) z^l \right)^{k-1} \\ &= \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{1 - z} \left(\frac{f(j)(1 - z^j) \left(\sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} f(l) z^l \right)^{k-1}}{\left(1 - \sum_{l=1}^j f(l) z^l \right)^k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Following the method presented in the previous case, we similarly get (22) for $\alpha = 2$ with $p_k^{(2)}$ given in (16).

However in the $\alpha = 2$ case, there is no simple relation of $\mathbf{E}(L_k^{(\alpha)}(n))$ and $p_k^{(\alpha)}(n)$ like (29) for $\alpha = 1$, hence we cannot deduce (25) from (22), but we proceed with direct computations. By (14), the expected total length of the last unfinished interval is infinite, therefore for $\alpha = 2$, one has $\mathbf{E}(L_1^{(2)}(n)) = \infty$. In order to compute the expected interval lengths for $k > 1$, denote the distribution function of $L_k^{(2)}(n)$ by $F_k^{(2)}(t, n)$. By the law of total probability, one can write

$$(56) \quad F_k^{(2)}(t, n) = \mathbf{P}(L_k^{(2)}(n) \leq t) = F_{k-1}^{(2)}(t, n) + \mathbf{P}(L_k^{(2)}(n) \leq t, L_{k-1}^{(2)}(n) > t).$$

We decompose the distribution function depending on the number of renewal intervals until n as

$$(57) \quad F_k^{(2)}(t, n) = \sum_{m \geq 1} F_k^{(2)}(t, n, m)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_k^{(2)}(t, n, m) &= \mathbf{P}(L_k^{(2)}(n) \leq t, R_n = m) \\ &= F_{k-1}^{(2)}(t, n, m) + \mathbf{P}(L_k^{(2)}(n) \leq t, L_{k-1}^{(2)}(n) > t, R_n = m). \end{aligned}$$

In the last probability above, if the first $k - 1$ longest lasting time intervals are greater than t , we can distinguish two different cases: if these intervals are all among $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m-1}$, or if τ_m is one of these intervals. Based on this observation, $F_k^{(2)}(t, n, m)$ can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 (58) \quad F_k^{(2)}(t, n, m) &= F_{k-1}^{(2)}(t, n, m) \\
 &+ \binom{m-1}{k-1} \sum_{l_1=t+1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{l_{k-1}=t+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l_k=1}^t \cdots \sum_{l_{m-1}=1}^t \sum_{l_m=0}^t \mathbf{P}(\bar{l}^{(2)}, n, m) \\
 &+ \binom{m-1}{k-2} \sum_{l_1=t+1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{l_{k-2}=t+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l_{k-1}=1}^t \cdots \sum_{l_{m-1}=1}^t \sum_{l_m=t+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(\bar{l}^{(2)}, n, m).
 \end{aligned}$$

The generating function

$$(59) \quad \tilde{F}_k^{(2)}(t, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} F_k^{(2)}(t, n) z^n$$

can be decomposed based on (57), and using the recursion (58) for each term and by substituting the value of $\mathbf{P}(\bar{l}^{(2)}, n, m)$ from (45), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
 (60) \quad \tilde{F}_k^{(2)}(t, z) &= \tilde{F}_{k-1}^{(2)}(t, z) + \frac{1}{1-z} \left(\frac{\left(\sum_{j=t+1}^{\infty} f(j) z^j \right)^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^t f(j) (1-z^j)}{\left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^t f(j) z^j \right)^k} \right) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{1-z} \left(\frac{\left(\sum_{j=t+1}^{\infty} f(j) z^j \right)^{k-2} \sum_{j=t+1}^{\infty} f(j) (1-z^j)}{\left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^t f(j) z^j \right)^{k-1}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By computing the expected value using the formula

$$(61) \quad \mathbf{E}(L_k^{(2)}(n)) = \sum_{t \geq 0} \left(1 - F_k^{(2)}(t, n) \right)$$

and by considering the generating functions of the two sides of (61), one can turn the recursion of generating functions (60) into the asymptotic recursion of Laplace transforms

$$\begin{aligned}
 (62) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} e^{-sn} \mathbf{E}(L_{k-1}^{(2)}(n)) &\sim \sum_{n \geq 0} e^{-sn} \mathbf{E}(L_k^{(2)}(n)) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{s^2} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \frac{\int_x^{\infty} y^{-3/2} (1 - e^{-y}) dy (\Gamma(-1/2, x))^{k-2}}{(x^{-1/2} e^{-x} + \gamma(1/2, x))^{k-1}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{\int_0^x y^{-3/2} (1 - e^{-y}) dy (\Gamma(-1/2, x))^{k-1}}{(x^{-1/2} e^{-x} + \gamma(1/2, x))^k} \right) dx
 \end{aligned}$$

for $k \geq 3$ by following the method presented for $\alpha = 1$. Simplifying the integral above and using the integration by parts (35), one gets the recursion

$$(63) \quad C_{k-1}^{(2)} = C_k^{(2)} + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{k-2}} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{-1/2} \Gamma(-1/2, x)^{k-2}}{(x^{-1/2} e^{-x} + \gamma(1/2, x))^k} dx$$

for $k \geq 3$. This recursion is satisfied by (18) and a dominated convergence argument shows that the $k \rightarrow \infty$ limit of $C_k^{(2)}$ defined by (18) is 0 as it should be by Lemma 12. Hence (25) follows for $\alpha = 2$.

Case $\alpha = 3$. With the same notations as before, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_k^{(3)}(n, m) &= \mathbf{P}(\tau_m = L_k^{(3)}(n), R_n = m) \\ &= \binom{m-1}{k-1} \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{l_1=j+1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{l_{k-1}=j+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l_k=1}^j \cdots \sum_{l_{m-2}=1}^j \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(\bar{l}^{(3)}, n, m) \end{aligned}$$

and by using (49) for the generating function,

$$(64) \quad \tilde{p}_k^{(3)}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} p_k^{(3)}(n) z^n = \tilde{q}(z) \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{f(j) z^j \left(\sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} f(l) z^l \right)^{k-1}}{\left(1 - \sum_{l=1}^j f(l) z^l \right)^k}$$

where $\tilde{q}(z)$ is given by (13). Again by (13), we rewrite the denominator of (64) as

$$(65) \quad 1 - \sum_{l=1}^j f(l) z^l = \sqrt{1-z} + \sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} f(l) z^l.$$

Since $\tilde{q}(e^{-s}) \sim 1/\sqrt{s}$, it is enough for the proof of (23) to show that

$$(66) \quad \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{f(j) e^{-sj} \left(\sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} f(l) e^{-sl} \right)^{k-1}}{\left(\sqrt{1-e^{-s}} - \sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} f(l) e^{-sl} \right)^k} \sim \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}$$

as $s \rightarrow 0$.

To verify (66), we fix an $\varepsilon > 0$ small and we divide the sum into a sum for $j \leq \varepsilon/s$ and a sum for $j > \varepsilon/s$. For the sum for $j > \varepsilon/s$, we can use the argument which lead to (54) for the $\alpha = 1$ case to derive a uniform exponential tail bound. Hence the $j > \varepsilon/s$ part of the sum in (66) can be considered as a Riemann sum for the integral

$$(67) \quad \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{x^{-3/2} \Gamma(-1/2, x)^{k-1}}{(2\sqrt{\pi} + \Gamma(-1/2, x))^k} dx.$$

Since $\Gamma(-1/2, x) = (1 + \mathcal{O}(x))2/\sqrt{x}$ for $x \rightarrow 0$, the integrand above is equal to $(1 + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{x}))/2x$ for small x . Therefore, the integral in (67) is asymptotically $-\frac{1}{2} \ln \varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(1)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ where the error terms are uniform.

For the $j \leq \varepsilon/s$ part of the sum, we again rewrite the left-hand side of (66) using (65) and we consider the sum

$$(68) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\varepsilon/s} \frac{f(j) e^{-sj} \left(1 - \sqrt{1-e^{-s}} - \sum_{l=1}^j f(l) e^{-sl} \right)^{k-1}}{\left(1 - \sum_{l=1}^j f(l) e^{-sl} \right)^k}.$$

Next we compare the two series $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f(l) = 1$ and $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f(l) e^{-sl} = 1 - \sqrt{1-e^{-s}}$. Note that both series have positive entries, and the second one is obtained from the first one by multiplying the summands by numbers from $(0, 1)$. Since the two sums differ by $\sqrt{1-e^{-s}} = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{s})$, this remains an upper bound on the difference of their partial sums, i.e.

$$(69) \quad \left| \sum_{l=1}^j f(l) e^{-sl} - \sum_{l=1}^j f(l) \right| \sim \left| \sum_{l=1}^j f(l) e^{-sl} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi j}} \right) \right| = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{s}).$$

Using this bound, the sum (68) can be written as

$$(70) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\varepsilon/s} \frac{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi j^{3/2}}}(1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi j}} + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{s})\right)^{k-1}}{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi j}} + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{s})\right)^k}$$

where the $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{s})$ errors are uniform. Since $j \leq \varepsilon/s$, we have $1/\sqrt{j} \geq \sqrt{s/\varepsilon}$, hence the $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{s})$ errors are negligible in (70) if ε is small enough. Therefore, (70) is asymptotically equal to

$$(71) \quad \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)) \sum_{j=1}^{\varepsilon/s} \frac{1}{j} \sim \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon))(\ln \varepsilon - \ln s).$$

We have shown that as $s \rightarrow 0$, the left-hand side of (66) is asymptotically equal to the sum of the integral (67) and (71), i.e. it is equal to $-\frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)) \ln s + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \ln \varepsilon)$. Since ε was arbitrary, (23) is proved.

Following the same computation as in the $\alpha = 2$ case, the distribution function of $L_k^{(3)}(n)$ restricted to the event $R_n = m$ is

$$\begin{aligned} F_k^{(3)}(t, n, m) &= F_{k-1}^{(3)}(t, n, m) + \mathbf{P}(L_k^{(3)}(n) \leq t, L_{k-1}^{(3)}(n) > t, R_n = m) \\ &= F_{k-1}^{(3)}(t, n, m) + \binom{m-1}{k-1} \sum_{l_1=t+1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{l_{k-1}=t+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l_k=1}^t \cdots \sum_{l_{m-1}=1}^t \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(\bar{l}^{(3)}, n, m) \end{aligned}$$

and the generating function of $F_k^{(3)}(t, n) = \sum_{m \geq 1} F_k^{(3)}(t, n, m)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_k^{(3)}(t, z) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} F_k^{(3)}(t, n) z^n \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m \geq 1} F_k^{(3)}(t, n, m) z^n \\ (72) \quad &= \tilde{F}_{k-1}^{(3)}(t, n) + \tilde{q}(z) \frac{\left(\sum_{j=t+1}^{\infty} f(j) z^j\right)^{k-1}}{\left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^t f(j) z^j\right)^k}. \end{aligned}$$

The generating function of $\mathbf{E}(L_k^{(3)}(n))$ can easily be expressed from the previous equation. For $k \geq 2$, we get the asymptotic recursion

$$(73) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} e^{-sn} \mathbf{E}(L_k^{(3)}(n)) \sim \sum_{n \geq 0} e^{-sn} \mathbf{E}(L_{k-1}^{(3)}(n)) - \frac{1}{s^2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{k-1}} \frac{\Gamma(-1/2, x)^{k-1}}{(x^{-1/2} e^{-x} + \gamma(1/2, x))^k} dx.$$

As for the case $\alpha = 2$, it readily implies a recursion of $C_k^{(3)}$ which is satisfied by the right-hand side (19). Further the limit of $C_k^{(3)}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ is 0 by Lemma 12, which is shown by a dominated convergence argument for the right-hand side of (19). This finishes the proof. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Balázs Ráth for discussions related to this paper, in particular for the argument in Remark 5. This work was supported by OTKA (Hungarian National Research Fund) grant K100473. B. V. is grateful for the Postdoctoral Fellowship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and for the Bolyai Research Scholarship.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Barsak, *Limits of renewal processes and Pitman–Yor distribution*, arXiv:1504.03897
- [2] E. Csáki and Y. Hu, *Lengths and heights of random walk excursions*, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. AC (2003) 45–52
- [3] E. Csáki and Y. Hu, *Invariance principles for ranked excursion lengths and heights*, Electron. Comm. Probab. **9** (2004), 14–21
- [4] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, Vol. 2 Wiley, 2. edition, 1971
- [5] S. Finch, *Excursion durations*,
<http://www.people.fas.harvard.edu/~sfinch/csolve/pd1.pdf> (2008)
- [6] C. Godrèche, S. N. Majumdar and G. Schehr, *The longest excursion of stochastic processes in nonequilibrium systems*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102** (2009), 240602
- [7] R. García-García, A. Rosso and G. Schehr, *The longest excursion of fractional Brownian motion: numerical evidence of the non-Markovian effects*, Phys. Rev. E **81** (2010), 010102(R)
- [8] C. Godrèche, S. N. Majumdar and G. Schehr, *Universal statistics of longest lasting records of random walks and Lévy flights*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **47** (2014), 255001
- [9] C. Godrèche, S. N. Majumdar and G. Schehr, *Statistics of the longest interval in renewal processes*, J. Stat. Mech. (2015), P03014
- [10] S. N. Majumdar and R. M. Zift, *Universal record statistics of random walks and Lévy flights*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008), 050601
- [11] M. Perman, *Random discrete distributions derived from subordinators*, PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley (1990)
- [12] M. Perman, *Order statistics for jumps of normalised subordinators*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **46** (1993), 264–281
- [13] M. Perman, J. Pitman and M. Yor, *Size-biased sampling of Poisson point processes and excursions*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **92** (1992), 21–39
- [14] J. Pitman and M. Yor, *Arcsine laws and interval partitions derived from a stable subordinator*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **65** (1992), 326–356
- [15] J. Pitman and M. Yor, *The two-parameter Poisson–Dirichlet distribution derived from a stable subordinator*, Ann. Probab. **25** (1997), 855–900
- [16] D. Revuz and M. Yor, *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, 3. edition, Springer, 1999
- [17] C. L. Scheffer, *The rank of the present excursion*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **55** (1995), 101–118
- [18] E. Sparre Andersen, *On the fluctuations of sums of random variables I*, Math. Scand. **1** (1953), 263–285

UNIVERSITY OF GRONINGEN, NIJENBORGH 9, 9747 AG GRONINGEN, THE NETHERLANDS
E-mail address: r.szabo@rug.nl

MTA–BME STOCHASTICS RESEARCH GROUP, EGRY J. U. 1, 1111 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY
E-mail address: vetob@math.bme.hu